

# A fixed-point theorem for Horn formula equations

Johannes Kloibhofer  
(joint work with Stefan Hetzl)

Institute for Logic, Language and Computation  
University of Amsterdam, Netherlands

December 15, 2021

# Introduction

- Consider Horn formula equations, i.e. special existential second-order formulas
- Interested in first-order solutions
- Horn formula equations appear in various areas:
  - Second-order quantifier elimination
  - Program verification
  - Proof theory
- We prove general results and use them in manifold applications

# Formula equations

## Definition

A *formula equation* has the form  $\exists \bar{X} \psi$ , where  $\bar{X}$  is a tuple of predicate variables and  $\psi$  is a first-order formula.

- Equivalent to  $\exists \bar{X} (\varphi_1 \leftrightarrow \varphi_2)$ , hence "equation"
- A formula equation is
  - valid:  $\models \exists \bar{X} \psi$
  - solvable: There exist formulas  $\bar{\chi}$  s.t.  $\models \psi[\bar{X} \setminus \bar{\chi}]$
- There are valid formula equations which are not first-order solvable
- Finding  $\bar{\chi}$  s.t.  $\models \psi[\bar{X} \setminus \bar{\chi}]$  is also known as Boolean solution problem

# Horn formula equations

## Definition

A *constrained clause* is a formula  $C$  of the form

$$\gamma \vee \bigvee_{i=1}^m \neg X_i(\bar{t}_i) \vee \bigvee_{j=1}^n Y_j(\bar{s}_j),$$

where  $X_i, Y_j$  are predicate variables and  $\gamma$  is a first-order formula without predicate variables.  $C$  is called

- ① *Horn*, if  $n \leq 1$ ,
- ② *dual-Horn*, if  $m \leq 1$  and
- ③ *linear-Horn*, if  $m, n \leq 1$ .

## Definition

A *Horn formula equation*  $\exists \bar{X} \psi$  is a formula equation of the form  $\exists \bar{X} \forall^* \bigwedge_{i=1}^n H_i$ , where  $H_i$  is a constrained Horn clause for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

# Least fixed-point logic (LFP)

- Extension of first-order logic
- LFP central in finite model theory / descriptive complexity (cf. Immerman-Vardi theorem '82)
- Define function  $F_\varphi$  on  $M^k$  by

$$F_\varphi : R \mapsto \{\bar{x} \in M^k \mid \mathcal{M} \models \varphi(R, \bar{x})\}$$

- If  $R$  occurs only positively in  $\varphi$ , then  $F_\varphi$  is monotonous  
 $\Rightarrow$  Least fixed point exists due to Knaster-Tarski theorem
- Introduce LFP atomic formulas  $[\text{lfp}_R \varphi(R, \bar{x})]$ , where

$$\mathcal{M} \models [\text{lfp}_R \varphi(R, \bar{x})](\bar{a}) :\Leftrightarrow \bar{a} \in \text{lfp}(F_\varphi)$$

- Can be extended to simultaneous fixed points

- Fixed point can be approximated by relations

$$S^0 = \emptyset, \quad S^{\alpha+1} = F_\varphi(S^\alpha), \quad S^\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} S^\beta$$

- LFP formula  $[\text{lfp}_R \varphi(R, \bar{x})]$  can be approximated by FO formulas

$$\varphi^0(\bar{x}) \equiv \perp, \quad \varphi^{k+1}(\bar{x}) \equiv \varphi(\varphi^k, \bar{x})$$

# Example

Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{E\}$  be the language of graphs. Define

$$\varphi(R, u, v) \equiv E(u, v) \vee \exists w(R(u, w) \wedge E(w, v))$$

As  $R$  occurs only positively in  $\varphi$  we can define  $[\text{lfp}_R \varphi(R, u, v)](x, y)$ .

LFP-formula is approximated by first-order formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^0(x, y) &\equiv \perp \\ \varphi^{k+1}(x, y) &\equiv E(x, y) \vee \exists w(\varphi^k(x, w) \wedge E(w, y)) \end{aligned}$$

# Proof Idea

Three different types of clauses in a Horn formula equation  $\exists \bar{X}\psi$ :

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 (B) & \gamma \rightarrow X_0(\bar{s}), \\
 (I) & \gamma \wedge X_1(\bar{t}_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge X_m(\bar{t}_m) \rightarrow X_0(\bar{s}), \\
 (E) & \gamma \wedge X_1(\bar{t}_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge X_m(\bar{t}_m) \rightarrow \perp,
 \end{array}$$

- Define a tuple  $\Phi_\psi$  of first-order formulas from clauses of the form (B) and (I)
- This tuple defines LFP-formulas



# Horn fixed-point theorem

## Horn fixed-point theorem

Let  $\exists \bar{X} \psi$  be a Horn formula equation and  $\mu_j := [\text{lfp}_{X_j} \Phi_\psi]$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then

- ①  $\models \exists \bar{X} \psi \leftrightarrow \psi[\bar{X} \setminus \bar{\mu}]$  and
- ② if  $\mathcal{M} \models \psi[\bar{X} \setminus \bar{R}]$  for some structure  $\mathcal{M}$  and relations  $R_1, \dots, R_n$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , then  $\mathcal{M} \models \bigwedge_{j=1}^n (\mu_j \rightarrow R_j)$ .

- Horn formula equation valid iff it is LFP-solvable
- Analogous theorems for dual-Horn and linear-Horn formula equations
- Generalised for abstract semantics

# Example

Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{E, s, t\}$ . Consider the Horn formula equation  $\exists X\psi$ , with

$$\psi \equiv \forall u, v \bigwedge \begin{cases} X(s) \\ X(u) \wedge E(u, v) \rightarrow X(v) \\ \neg X(t) \end{cases}$$

- $\Phi_\psi(R, x) \equiv x = s \vee \exists u(E(u, x) \wedge R(u))$ .
- Define  $\mu = [\text{lfp}_X \Phi_\psi]$ , then  $\models \exists X\psi \leftrightarrow \psi[X \setminus \mu]$
- Equivalently  $\models \exists X\psi \leftrightarrow \neg\mu(t)$
- Connectivity is not expressible in FO  
 $\Rightarrow \exists X\psi$  not solvable in FO!

# Fixed-point approximation

- Problem: Finding first-order formulas, which approximate existential second-order formulas
- First investigated by [Ackermann '35] for relational language and one unary predicate variable
- Used a method similar to modern resolution
- Extended for arbitrary predicate variables in [Wernhard '17]
- Our Idea: Express LFP-formula as an infinite disjunction of first-order formulas

## Theorem

Let  $\exists \bar{X} \psi$  be a Horn formula equation. Then there exists a countable set of first-order formulas  $\Psi$  s.t.

$$\exists \bar{X} \psi \equiv \bigwedge_{\varphi \in \Psi} \varphi.$$

# Example

Consider the Horn formula equation  $\exists X\psi$ , with

$$\psi \equiv \forall u, v \bigwedge \begin{cases} X(s) \\ X(u) \wedge E(u, v) \rightarrow X(v) \\ \neg X(t) \end{cases}$$

- Define formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^0(x) &\equiv x = s \\ \varphi^{k+1}(x) &\equiv x = s \vee \exists u (E(u, x) \wedge \varphi^k(u)) \end{aligned}$$

- Then  $\varphi^\omega \equiv \bigvee_{k \in \omega} \varphi^k$  is equivalent to  $[\text{lfp}_X \Phi_\psi]$ .
- Thus  $\exists X\psi \equiv \bigwedge_{k \in \omega} \neg \varphi^k(t)$ .

# Partial Correctness of while-programs

- A Hoare triple  $\{\varphi\}p\{\psi\}$  consists of a program  $p$  and two first-order formulas  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ .
- The verification condition  $vc(\{\varphi\}p\{\psi\})$  can be written as a linear-Horn formula equation s.t.

$$\models \{\varphi\}p\{\psi\} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathbb{Z} \models vc(\{\varphi\}p\{\psi\})$$

- The predicate variables correspond to the loop invariants
- Fixed-point theorem: For every solution  $\bar{\chi}$  of  $\mathbb{Z} \models vc(\{\varphi\}p\{\psi\})$  it holds

$$\mathbb{Z} \models \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \mu_i \rightarrow \chi_i \wedge \chi_i \rightarrow \nu_i$$

- As corollaries: The canonical solutions of our fixed-point theorem express the weakest precondition and strongest postcondition.

# Affine solution problem

- Problem: Finding *affine subspaces* of  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  which solve a formula equation without first-order quantifiers in the language  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{aff}} = \{0, 1, +, \{c \mid c \in \mathbb{Q}\}\}$
- Decidability shown by [Hetzl, Zivota '19]
- Computed a fixed point in lattice of affine subspaces of  $\mathbb{Q}^n$
- Horn fixed-point theorem not applicable
- Need generalisation!

# Abstract semantics

Abstract semantics:

- First-order formulas interpreted as usual
- Second-order predicates and LFP-atoms not interpreted in  $(M^k, \subseteq)$ , but in different lattice  $(V_k, \sqsubseteq)$  s.t.

$$(M^k, \subseteq) \begin{matrix} \xleftarrow{\gamma} \\ \xrightarrow{\alpha} \end{matrix} (V_k, \sqsubseteq)$$

forms a Galois connection for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

- We call  $(\mathcal{M}, G)$ , where  $G = (V_k, \alpha_k, \gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , a *model abstraction*

# Abstract semantics

Abstract semantics:

- First-order formulas interpreted as usual
- Second-order predicates and LFP-atoms not interpreted in  $(M^k, \subseteq)$ , but in different lattice  $(V_k, \sqsubseteq)$  s.t.

$$(M^k, \subseteq) \begin{matrix} \xleftarrow{\gamma} \\ \xrightarrow{\alpha} \end{matrix} (V_k, \sqsubseteq)$$

forms a Galois connection for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

- We call  $(\mathcal{M}, G)$ , where  $G = (V_k, \alpha_k, \gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , a *model abstraction*

Example:

- $(\mathbb{Q}, G_{\text{aff}})$  is a model abstraction, where  $G_{\text{aff}} = ((\text{Aff } \mathbb{Q}^k, \subseteq), \text{aff}_k, \text{id}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  with
  - $\text{Aff } \mathbb{Q}^k$  is the set of affine subsets of  $\mathbb{Q}^k$
  - $\text{aff}_k$  is the affine hull
  - $\text{id}_k$  is embedding of  $\text{Aff } \mathbb{Q}^k$  in  $\mathbb{Q}^k$



# Abstract fixed-point theorem

## Theorem (Abstract Horn fixed-point theorem)

Let  $\exists \bar{X} \psi$  be a Horn formula equation and  $\mu_j := [\text{lfp}_{X_j} \Phi_\psi]$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then:

- ①  $\models_a \exists \bar{X} \psi \leftrightarrow \psi[\bar{X} \setminus \bar{\mu}]$  and
- ② if  $(\mathcal{M}, G) \models_a \psi[\bar{X} \setminus \bar{R}]$  for some model abstraction  $(\mathcal{M}, G)$  and abstract relations  $R_1, \dots, R_n$ , then  $(\mathcal{M}, G) \models_a \bigwedge_{j=1}^n (\mu_j \rightarrow R_j)$ .

- Analogous theorems for dual-Horn and linear-Horn formula equations
- Decidability of affine solution problem follows as direct corollary

# Inductive theorem proving

- Consider approach to inductive theorem proving based on tree grammars by [Eberhard, Hetzl '15]
- Generate proof of universal statement:
  - First proofs of small instances are computed
  - Then second-order unification problem is deduced:
    - ①  $\Gamma_0(\alpha, \beta) \Rightarrow X(\alpha, 0, \beta)$
    - ②  $\Gamma_1(\alpha, \nu, \gamma), \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq n} X(\alpha, n, t_i(\alpha, \nu, \gamma)) \Rightarrow X(\alpha, s(n), \gamma)$
    - ③  $\Gamma_2(\alpha), \bigwedge_{1 \leq j \leq m} X(\alpha, \alpha, u_j(\alpha)) \Rightarrow B(\alpha)$
  - Every solution is an inductive invariant
- Equivalent to a Horn formula equation
- Using fixed-point theorem we get LFP-formula which implies every solution
- By fixed-point approximation get first-order formulas

# Conclusion

- Horn formula equation satisfiable iff LFP-solvable
- Canonical solutions in LFP
- Applications:
  - Second-order quantifier elimination
  - Decidability of affine solution problem
  - In program verification we can define an equivalent condition to the semantics of Hoare triples
    - Canonical solutions correspond to weakest precondition and strongest postcondition
  - Algorithmic step in approach to inductive theorem proving

# References I

- [1] Wilhelm Ackermann. “Untersuchungen über das Eliminationsproblem der mathematischen Logik”. In: *Mathematische Annalen* 110.1 (1935), pp. 390–413. DOI: 10.1007/BF01448035.
- [2] Sebastian Eberhard and Stefan Hetzl. “Inductive theorem proving based on tree grammars”. In: *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 166.6 (2015), pp. 665–700. DOI: 10.1016/j.apal.2015.01.002.
- [3] Stefan Hetzl and Johannes Kloibhofer. “A fixed point theorem for Horn formula equations”. In: *Proceedings of the 8th Workshop on Horn Clauses for Verification and Synthesis (HCVS)* (2021). DOI: 10.4204/EPTCS.344.5.
- [4] Stefan Hetzl and Sebastian Zivota. “Decidability of affine solution problems”. In: *Journal of Logic and Computation* 30.3 (2020), pp. 697–714. DOI: 10.1093/logcom/exz033.

## References II

- [5] Johannes Kloibhofer. “A fixed-point theorem for Horn formula equations”. MA thesis. Austria: TU Wien, 2020.
- [6] Andreas Nonnengart and Andrzej Szalas. “A Fixpoint Approach to Second-Order Quantifier Elimination with Applications to Correspondence Theory”. In: *Logic at Work: Essays Dedicated to the Memory of Helena Rasiowa*. Ed. by Ewa Orłowska. Vol. 24. Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing. Springer, 1998, pp. 307–328.
- [7] Christoph Wernhard. “The Boolean Solution Problem from the Perspective of Predicate Logic”. In: *11th International Symposium on Frontiers of Combining Systems (FroCoS)*. Vol. 10483. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2017, pp. 333–350. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-66167-4\\_19.