

A Method Overcoming Induction During Cut-elimination

Mikheil Rukhaia

joint work with C. Dunchev, A. Leitsch and D. Weller

Symposium on Language, Logic and Computation,
Gudauri, Georgia.

September 27, 2013

Introduction

Aim

- ▶ Proof mining.
- ▶ Extraction of **explicit** information from proofs.
- ▶ Via **cut-elimination**: the removal of lemmas in proofs.

Cut-Elimination and Induction

- ▶ **Induction**: an infinitary modus ponens rule.
- ▶ Cut-elimination in the presence of induction: **not possible**.
- ▶ **A solution**: avoid induction using schemata.

Extension of LK

- ▶ Induction rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A(\bar{0}) \quad \Pi, A(\alpha) \vdash \Lambda, A(s(\alpha))}{\Gamma, \Pi \vdash \Delta, \Lambda, A(t)} \textit{ind}$$

- ▶ Equational rule:

$$\frac{S[t]}{S[t']} \mathcal{E}$$

with the condition that an equational theory $\mathcal{E} \models t = t'$.

A Motivating Example

- ▶ $\mathcal{E} = \{\hat{f}(0, x) = x, \hat{f}(s(n), x) = f(\hat{f}(n, x))\}$.
- ▶ $\mathcal{E} \models \hat{f}(n, x) = f^n(x)$.
- ▶ We prove S :

$$\begin{aligned} & (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash \\ & (\forall n)((P(\hat{f}(n, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(n, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(n, c)))) \end{aligned}$$

A Motivating Example (ctd.)

- φ is:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\psi}{\quad}}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash C} \quad C \vdash (\forall n)((P(\hat{f}(n, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(n, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(n, c))))}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall n)((P(\hat{f}(n, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(n, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(n, c))))} \text{cut}}$$

- $C = (\forall n)(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(n, x)))$ and (1) is:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{P(\hat{f}(\beta, c)) \vdash P(\hat{f}(\beta, c)) \quad P(g(\beta, c)) \vdash P(g(\beta, c))}{\quad} \Rightarrow : l}{P(c) \vdash P(c) \quad P(\hat{f}(\beta, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(\beta, c)), P(\hat{f}(\beta, c)) \vdash P(g(\beta, c))}{\quad} \Rightarrow : l}{P(c), P(\hat{f}(\beta, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(\beta, c)), P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(\beta, c)) \vdash P(g(\beta, c))}{\quad} \Rightarrow : r}{P(\hat{f}(\beta, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(\beta, c)), P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(\beta, c)) \vdash P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(\beta, c))}{\quad} \Rightarrow : r}{P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(\beta, c)) \vdash (P(\hat{f}(\beta, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(\beta, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(\beta, c)))}{\quad} \Rightarrow : r}{\frac{(\forall n)(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(n, x))) \vdash (P(\hat{f}(\beta, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(\beta, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(\beta, c)))}{(\forall n)(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(n, x))) \vdash (\forall n)((P(\hat{f}(n, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(n, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(n, c))))} \Rightarrow : l^* \quad \Rightarrow : r}$$

A Motivating Example (ctd.)

- ψ is:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{P(\hat{f}(\bar{0}, u)) \vdash P(\hat{f}(\bar{0}, u))}{P(u) \vdash P(\hat{f}(\bar{0}, u))} \mathcal{E}}{\vdash P(u) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(\bar{0}, u))} \Rightarrow : r}{\vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(\bar{0}, x)))} \forall : r \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{P(\hat{f}(s(\alpha), u)) \vdash P(\hat{f}(s(\alpha), u))}{P(f(\hat{f}(\alpha, u))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(s(\alpha), u))} \mathcal{E}}{P(\hat{f}(\alpha, u)) \vdash P(\hat{f}(\alpha, u))} \Rightarrow : l}{P(\hat{f}(\alpha, u)) \Rightarrow P(f(\hat{f}(\alpha, u))), P(\hat{f}(\alpha, u)) \vdash P(\hat{f}(s(\alpha), u))} \forall : l}{P(u) \vdash P(u) \quad (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))), P(\hat{f}(\alpha, u)) \vdash P(\hat{f}(s(\alpha), u))} \Rightarrow : l}{P(u), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))), P(u) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(\alpha, u)) \vdash P(\hat{f}(s(\alpha), u))} \Rightarrow : r}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))), P(u) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(\alpha, u)) \vdash P(u) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(s(\alpha), u))} \forall : l}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(\alpha, x))) \vdash P(u) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(s(\alpha), u))} \forall : r}{\vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x)))} \forall : r \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(\gamma, x)))}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall n)(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(n, x)))} \forall : r}{A, (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(\alpha, x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(s(\alpha), x)))} ind$$

- $A = (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x)))$ and (2) is:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{P(\hat{f}(s(\alpha), u)) \vdash P(\hat{f}(s(\alpha), u))}{P(f(\hat{f}(\alpha, u))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(s(\alpha), u))} \mathcal{E}}{P(\hat{f}(\alpha, u)) \vdash P(\hat{f}(\alpha, u))} \Rightarrow : l}{P(\hat{f}(\alpha, u)) \Rightarrow P(f(\hat{f}(\alpha, u))), P(\hat{f}(\alpha, u)) \vdash P(\hat{f}(s(\alpha), u))} \forall : l}{P(u) \vdash P(u) \quad (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))), P(\hat{f}(\alpha, u)) \vdash P(\hat{f}(s(\alpha), u))} \Rightarrow : l}{P(u), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))), P(u) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(\alpha, u)) \vdash P(\hat{f}(s(\alpha), u))} \Rightarrow : r}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))), P(u) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(\alpha, u)) \vdash P(u) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(s(\alpha), u))} \forall : l}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(\alpha, x))) \vdash P(u) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(s(\alpha), u))} \forall : r}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(\alpha, x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(s(\alpha), x)))} \forall : r$$

A Motivating Example (ctd.)

- ▶ After some reduction steps:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\widetilde{\psi}}{\quad}}{A \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(\beta, x)))} \text{ ind} \quad \frac{\frac{\widetilde{(1')}}{\quad}}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(\beta, x))) \vdash B} \text{ cut}}{\frac{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (P(\hat{f}(\beta, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(\beta, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(\beta, c)))}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall n)((P(\hat{f}(n, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(n, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(n, c))))} \forall: r} \text{ cut}}$$

- ▶ Cannot proceed!
- ▶ In fact, there is no cut-free proof of S , induction on $(\forall n)((P(\hat{f}(n, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(n, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(n, c))))$ fails.

A Motivating Example (ctd.)

- ▶ The sequents S_n :

$$(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash \\ (P(\hat{f}(\bar{n}, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(\bar{n}, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(\bar{n}, c)))$$

do have cut-free proofs for all \bar{n} .

- ▶ **Uniform description** of the sequence of cut-free proofs is needed.
- ▶ Develop machinery to obtain such a description.

Schematic Proof Systems

Language

- ▶ Consider two sorts ω, ι .
- ▶ Our language consists of:
 - arithmetical variables $i, j, k, n: \omega$,
 - first-order variables $x, y, z: \iota$,
 - schematic variables $u, v: \omega \rightarrow \iota$,
 - constant function symbols $f, g: \tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_n \rightarrow \tau$,
 - defined function symbols $\hat{f}, \hat{g}: \omega \times \tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_n \rightarrow \tau$,
 - predicate symbols P, Q and the logical connectives $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow, \forall, \exists, \bigwedge, \bigvee$.

Language (ctd.)

- ▶ **Terms** are defined in usual inductive fashion using variables and constant function symbols.
- ▶ **Arithmetical terms** are subset of terms constructed using $0: \omega, s: \omega \rightarrow \omega, +: \omega \times \omega \rightarrow \omega$ and arithmetical variables.
- ▶ **Formulas** are defined in usual inductive fashion using predicate symbols and connectives $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow, \forall, \exists$ (quantification is allowed only on first-order variables).

Language (ctd.)

- ▶ **Term schemata:** terms and primitive recursion on terms using defined function symbols, i.e. for every \hat{f} :

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}(0, x_1, \dots, x_n) &\rightarrow s, \\ \hat{f}(k+1, x_1, \dots, x_n) &\rightarrow t[\hat{f}(k, x_1, \dots, x_n)]\end{aligned}$$

s.t. $V(s) \cup V(t) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and s, t are terms.

- ▶ **Example:** $\hat{f}(n, x)$ defining $f^n(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}(0, x) &\rightarrow x, \\ \hat{f}(k+1, x) &\rightarrow f(\hat{f}(k, x)).\end{aligned}$$

Language (ctd.)

- ▶ **Formula schemata:** formulas are formula schemata and if A is a formula schema, then $\bigwedge_{i=a}^b A$ and $\bigvee_{i=a}^b A$ are formula schemata as well.
- ▶ **Example:** $(\exists y)(\bigvee_{i=0}^n (\forall x)A(i, x, y))$ defining $(\exists y)((\forall x)A(0, x, y) \vee \cdots \vee (\forall x)A(n, x, y))$ which is equivalent to $(\exists y)((\forall x_0)A(0, x_0, y) \vee \cdots \vee (\forall x_n)A(n, x_n, y))$.

Calculus \mathbf{LK}_s

- ▶ **Sequent**: expression $S(x_1, \dots, x_\alpha): \Gamma \vdash \Delta$.
- ▶ **Proof link**: expression $\frac{(\varphi(a_1, \dots, a_\alpha))}{S(a_1, \dots, a_\alpha)}$
- ▶ **Axioms**: proof links or $A \vdash A$.
- ▶ Usual **LK** rules operating on formula schemata and the \mathcal{E} rule.

Proof Schema

- ▶ Tuple of pairs of \mathbf{LK}_s -proofs.
- ▶ Each pair is associated with one proof symbol.
- ▶ Each pair corresponds to a base and step case of inductive definition.
- ▶ The proof symbols are ordered.

An Example

- ▶ Let $\hat{f}: \omega \times \iota \rightarrow \iota$ and define $\hat{f}(n, x)$ as:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}(0, x) &\rightarrow x, \\ \hat{f}(k+1, x) &\rightarrow f(\hat{f}(k, x))\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Let $\Psi = \langle \phi, \psi \rangle$ be a proof schema of

$$\begin{aligned}(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash \\ (P(\hat{f}(n, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(n, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(n, c)))\end{aligned}$$

An Example (ctd.)

- φ is associated with the pair: step case

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\text{---} \quad (\psi(k+1)) \quad \text{---}}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, x)))} \quad \widetilde{(1)}}{\text{---}} \quad \text{cut}}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)))}$$

where (1) is:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \quad P(g(k+1, c)) \vdash P(g(k+1, c))}{P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)), P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(g(k+1, c))} \Rightarrow : l}{P(c) \vdash P(c)} \Rightarrow : l}{\frac{P(c), P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)), P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(g(k+1, c))}{P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)), P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))} \Rightarrow : r}{\frac{P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)), P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))}{P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash (P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)))} \Rightarrow : r}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, x))) \vdash (P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)))} \forall : l$$

An Example (ctd.)

where (2) is (induction step):

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))) \quad \frac{P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))}{P(f(\hat{f}(k, u(sk)))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \mathcal{E}}{P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))) \Rightarrow P(f(\hat{f}(k, u(sk)))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \Rightarrow : l} \\
 \frac{P(u(sk)) \vdash P(u(sk)) \quad \frac{P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))}{P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \forall : l}}{P(u(sk)), P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \Rightarrow : l} \\
 \frac{P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))}{P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \Rightarrow : r} \\
 \frac{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, x))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, x))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \forall : l} \\
 \frac{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, x))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(sk, x)))}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, x))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(sk, x)))} \forall : r}
 \end{array}$$

Key Results

Proposition (Soundness)

LK_g is sound.

Proposition (Correspondence)

Let ϕ be an LK -proof without nested inductions of a sequent S fulfilling the following conditions:

- ▶ The inductions occurring in ϕ are standard inductions on the natural numbers.
- ▶ The term definitions in S are (primitive) recursive.

Then ϕ can be transformed into a proof schema.

Resolution Calculus \mathcal{R}_s

- ▶ Clauses and clause schemata.
- ▶ Clause-set terms and clause set schemata.
- ▶ Resolution terms and resolution proof schemata.
- ▶ Substitution schema.

Key Results

Proposition (Soundness)

\mathcal{R}_s is sound.

Proposition

Unification problem is undecidable for term schemata.

Cut-Elimination in Proof Schemata

Cut-Elimination Methods

- ▶ **Reductive methods**: fail, since cannot shift cuts over proof links.
- ▶ **Cut-Elimination by RESolution**: analyses all cuts together, no shifts needed.

Key Points of CERES

- ▶ A clause set, called **characteristic clause set**.
- ▶ A set of cut-free proofs, called (set of) **projections**.
- ▶ A **Refutation** of the characteristic clause set.

Key Results About CERES

Proposition (Baaz & Leitsch, 2001)

*Let π be an **LK**-proof. Then $\text{CL}(\pi)$ is unsatisfiable.*

Proposition (Baaz & Leitsch, 2001)

*Let π be an **LK**-proof with end-sequent S , then for all clauses $C \in \text{CL}(\pi)$, there exists an **LK**-proof $\pi_C \in \text{PR}(\pi)$ with end-sequent $S \circ C$.*

The $CERES_s$ Method

- ▶ **Configuration** Ω of ψ is a set of formula occurrences from the end-sequent of ψ .
- ▶ $cl^{\psi, \Omega}$ is a unique symbol, called *clause-set symbol*.
- ▶ $pr^{\psi, \Omega}$ is a unique symbol, called *projection symbol*.

The $CERES_s$ Method (ctd.)

- ▶ Two configurations: \emptyset for φ , and $\Omega = \{\vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(n, x)))\}$ for ψ .
- ▶ Base case for ψ :

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{P(\hat{f}(0, u(0))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(0, u(0)))}{P(u(0)) \vdash P(\hat{f}(0, u(0)))} \mathcal{E}}{\vdash P(u(0)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(0, u(0)))} \Rightarrow: r}{\vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(0, x)))} \forall: r}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(0, x)))} w: l$$

The CERES_s Method (ctd.)

- ▶ Two configurations: \emptyset for φ , and $\Omega = \{\vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(n, x)))\}$ for ψ .
- ▶ Base case for ψ :

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{P(\hat{f}(0, u(0))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(0, u(0)))}{P(u(0)) \vdash P(\hat{f}(0, u(0)))} \mathcal{E}}{\vdash P(u(0)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(0, u(0)))} \Rightarrow: r}{\vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(0, x)))} \forall: r}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(0, x)))} w: l$$

$\text{cl}^{\psi, \Omega}(0) = \{P(\hat{f}(0, u(0))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(0, u(0)))\}$ and

$\text{pr}^{\psi, \Omega}(0) = w_l(P(\hat{f}(0, u(0))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(0, u(0))))$

The CERES_s Method (ctd.)

- ▶ Two configurations: \emptyset for φ , and $\Omega = \{\vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(n, x)))\}$ for ψ .
- ▶ Step case for ψ :

$$\frac{\frac{\text{---} \quad (\psi(k)) \quad \text{---}}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, x)))} \quad \widetilde{(2)}/}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, x)))} \text{cut, } c: l$$

The CERES_s Method (ctd.)

- ▶ Two configurations: \emptyset for φ , and $\Omega = \{\vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(n, x)))\}$ for ψ .
- ▶ Step case for ψ :

$$\frac{\frac{\text{---} \quad (\psi(k)) \quad \text{---}}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, x)))} \quad \widetilde{\text{(2)}/}}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, x)))} \text{ cut, } c: l$$

$$\text{cl}^{\psi, \Omega}(k+1) = \text{cl}^{\psi, \Omega}(k) \oplus$$

The CERES_s Method (ctd.)

- ▶ Two configurations: \emptyset for φ , and $\Omega = \{\vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(n, x)))\}$ for ψ .
- ▶ (2) is:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))}{P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk)))} \mathcal{E} \\
 \frac{\frac{P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk)))}{P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk)))} \Rightarrow : l}{P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \forall : l \\
 \frac{P(u(sk)) \vdash P(u(sk))}{P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \Rightarrow : l \\
 \frac{P(u(sk)), P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))}{P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \Rightarrow : r \\
 \frac{P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, x))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \forall : l \\
 \frac{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, x))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, x))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(sk, x)))} \forall : r
 \end{array}$$

$$cl^{\psi, \Omega}(k+1) = cl^{\psi, \Omega}(k) \oplus$$

The CERES_s Method (ctd.)

- ▶ Two configurations: \emptyset for φ , and $\Omega = \{\vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(n, x)))\}$ for ψ .
- ▶ (2) is:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))}{P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk)))} \quad \frac{P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))}{P(f(\hat{f}(k, u(sk)))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \quad \mathcal{E} \\
 \frac{\quad}{P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))) \Rightarrow P(f(\hat{f}(k, u(sk)))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \Rightarrow : l \\
 \frac{P(u(sk)) \vdash P(u(sk)) \quad P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))}{P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \quad \forall : l \\
 \frac{\quad}{P(u(sk)), P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \Rightarrow : r \\
 \frac{P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, x))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \quad \forall : l \\
 \frac{\quad}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, x))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(sk, x)))} \quad \forall : r
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{cl}^{\psi, \Omega}(k+1) &= \text{cl}^{\psi, \Omega}(k) \oplus \{P(u(sk)) \vdash P(u(sk))\} \oplus \\
 &\quad (\{P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))) \vdash\} \otimes \{\vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))\})
 \end{aligned}$$

The $CERES_s$ Method (ctd.)

- ▶ Two configurations: \emptyset for φ , and $\Omega = \{\vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(n, x)))\}$ for ψ .
- ▶ Step case for ψ :

$$\frac{\frac{\text{---} \quad (\psi(k)) \quad \text{---}}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, x)))} \quad \widetilde{(2)}/}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, x)))} \text{cut, } c: l$$

The $CERES_s$ Method (ctd.)

- ▶ Two configurations: \emptyset for φ , and $\Omega = \{\vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(n, x)))\}$ for ψ .
- ▶ Step case for ψ :

$$\frac{\frac{\text{---} \quad (\psi(k)) \quad \text{---}}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, x)))} \quad \widetilde{(2)}/}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, x)))} \text{cut, } c: l$$

$$\text{pr}^{\psi, \Omega}(k+1) = c_l(w^{A\vdash}(\text{pr}^{\psi, \Omega}(k)) \oplus w^{A\vdash}(\quad))$$

The CERES_s Method (ctd.)

- ▶ Two configurations: \emptyset for φ , and $\Omega = \{\vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(n, x)))\}$ for ψ .
- ▶ (2) is:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))) \quad \frac{P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))}{P(f(\hat{f}(k, u(sk)))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \mathcal{E}}{P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))) \Rightarrow P(f(\hat{f}(k, u(sk)))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \Rightarrow : l}}{P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))) \Rightarrow P(f(\hat{f}(k, u(sk)))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \forall : l} \\
 \frac{P(u(sk)) \vdash P(u(sk)) \quad \frac{P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))}{P(u(sk)), P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \Rightarrow : l}}{P(u(sk)), P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \Rightarrow : r} \\
 \frac{P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))}{P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \forall : l} \\
 \frac{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, x))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, x))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \forall : r} \\
 \frac{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, x))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, x))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(sk, x)))} \forall : r}
 \end{array}$$

$$\text{pr}^{\psi, \Omega}(k+1) = c_l(w^{A\vdash}(\text{pr}^{\psi, \Omega}(k)) \oplus w^{A\vdash}(\quad))$$

The CERES_s Method (ctd.)

- ▶ (2) is:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))) \quad \frac{P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))}{P(f(\hat{f}(k, u(sk)))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \mathcal{E}}{P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))) \Rightarrow P(f(\hat{f}(k, u(sk)))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \Rightarrow : l}}{P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))) \Rightarrow P(f(\hat{f}(k, u(sk)))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \forall : l}}{P(u(sk)) \vdash P(u(sk)) \quad \frac{P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))}{P(u(sk)), P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \Rightarrow : l}}{P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \forall : l}}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, x))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(u(sk)) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk)))} \forall : r}}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k, x))), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(sk, x)))} \forall : r}}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{pr}^{\psi, \Omega}(k+1) &= c_l(w^{A\vdash}(\text{pr}^{\psi, \Omega}(k)) \oplus w^{A\vdash} (\\
 &\quad w^{A\vdash}(P(u(sk)) \vdash P(u(sk))) \oplus \\
 &\quad w^{\vdash}(\forall l(P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(k, u(sk)))) \otimes_{\Rightarrow l} \\
 &\quad P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(sk, u(sk))))))
 \end{aligned}$$

The $CERES_s$ Method (ctd.)

- ▶ Two configurations: \emptyset for φ , and $\Omega = \{\vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(n, x)))\}$ for ψ .
- ▶ Step case for φ :

$$\frac{\frac{\text{---} \quad (\psi(k+1)) \quad \text{---}}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, x)))} \quad \widetilde{(1) /}}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)))} \text{ cut}$$

The CERES_s Method (ctd.)

- ▶ Two configurations: \emptyset for φ , and $\Omega = \{\vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(n, x)))\}$ for ψ .
- ▶ Step case for φ :

$$\frac{\frac{\text{---} \quad (\psi(k+1)) \quad \text{---}}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, x)))} \quad \widetilde{(1)}/}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)))} \text{ cut}$$

$$\text{cl}^{\varphi, \emptyset}(k+1) = \text{cl}^{\psi, \Omega}(k+1) \oplus$$

The CERES_s Method (ctd.)

- ▶ Two configurations: \emptyset for φ , and $\Omega = \{\vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(n, x)))\}$ for ψ .
- ▶ (1) is:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \quad P(g(k+1, c)) \vdash P(g(k+1, c))}{P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)), P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(g(k+1, c))} \Rightarrow : l}{P(c) \vdash P(c)} \Rightarrow : l}{\frac{P(c), P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)), P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(g(k+1, c))}{P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)), P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))} \Rightarrow : r} \Rightarrow : r}{P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash (P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)))} \Rightarrow : r} \forall : l$$

$$\frac{P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \quad P(g(k+1, c)) \vdash P(g(k+1, c))}{P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)), P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(g(k+1, c))} \Rightarrow : l$$

$$\frac{P(c) \vdash P(c)}{P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)), P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(g(k+1, c))} \Rightarrow : l$$

$$\frac{P(c), P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)), P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(g(k+1, c))}{P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)), P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))} \Rightarrow : r$$

$$\frac{P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)), P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))}{P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash (P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)))} \Rightarrow : r$$

$$\frac{P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash (P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)))}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, x))) \vdash (P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)))} \forall : l$$

$$\text{cl}^{\varphi, \emptyset}(k+1) = \text{cl}^{\psi, \Omega}(k+1) \oplus$$

The CERES_s Method (ctd.)

- ▶ Two configurations: \emptyset for φ , and $\Omega = \{\vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(n, x)))\}$ for ψ .
- ▶ (1) is:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \quad P(g(k+1, c)) \vdash P(g(k+1, c))}{P(c) \vdash P(c) \quad P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)), P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(g(k+1, c))} \Rightarrow : l \\
 \frac{\quad}{P(c), P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)), P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(g(k+1, c))} \Rightarrow : l \\
 \frac{\quad}{P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)), P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))} \Rightarrow : r \\
 \frac{\quad}{P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash (P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)))} \Rightarrow : r \\
 \frac{\quad}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, x))) \vdash (P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)))} \forall : l
 \end{array}$$

$$\text{cl}^{\varphi, \emptyset}(k+1) = \text{cl}^{\psi, \Omega}(k+1) \oplus \{\vdash P(c)\} \oplus \\
 (\{P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash\} \otimes \{\vdash\})$$

The $CERES_s$ Method (ctd.)

- ▶ Two configurations: \emptyset for φ , and $\Omega = \{\vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(n, x)))\}$ for ψ .
- ▶ Base case for φ :

$$cl^{\varphi, \emptyset}(0) = \{P(\hat{f}(0, u(0))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(0, u(0)))\} \oplus \\ \{\vdash P(c)\} \oplus (\{P(\hat{f}(0, c)) \vdash\} \otimes \{\vdash\})$$

The $CERES_s$ Method (ctd.)

- ▶ Two configurations: \emptyset for φ , and $\Omega = \{\vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(n, x)))\}$ for ψ .
- ▶ Step case for φ :

$$\frac{\frac{\text{---} \quad (\psi(k+1)) \quad \text{---}}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, x)))} \quad \widetilde{(1) /}}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)))} \text{ cut}$$

The $CERES_s$ Method (ctd.)

- ▶ Let $A = (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x)))$ and $B(k+1) = (P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)))$
- ▶ Step case for φ :

$$\frac{\frac{(\psi(k+1))}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, x)))} \quad \widetilde{(1)}/}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)))} \text{ cut}$$

$$\text{pr}^{\varphi, \emptyset}(k+1) = w^{\vdash B(k+1)}(\text{pr}^{\psi, \Omega}(k+1)) \oplus w^{A\vdash}(\quad)$$

The CERES_s Method (ctd.)

- ▶ Let $A = (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x)))$ and $B(k+1) = (P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)))$
- ▶ (1) is:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \quad P(g(k+1, c)) \vdash P(g(k+1, c))}{P(c) \vdash P(c) \quad P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)), P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(g(k+1, c))} \Rightarrow : l \\
 \frac{\quad}{P(c), P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)), P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(g(k+1, c))} \Rightarrow : l \\
 \frac{\quad}{P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)), P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))} \Rightarrow : r \\
 \frac{\quad}{P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash (P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)))} \Rightarrow : r \\
 \frac{\quad}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, x))) \vdash (P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)))} \forall : l
 \end{array}$$

$$\text{pr}^{\varphi, \emptyset}(k+1) = w^{\vdash B(k+1)}(\text{pr}^{\psi, \Omega}(k+1)) \oplus w^{A \vdash} ($$

The CERES_s Method (ctd.)

- ▶ Let $A = (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x)))$ and $B(k+1) = (P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)))$
- ▶ (1) is:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \quad P(g(k+1, c)) \vdash P(g(k+1, c))}{P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)), P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(g(k+1, c))} \Rightarrow : l \\
 \frac{P(c) \vdash P(c)}{P(c), P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)), P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(g(k+1, c))} \Rightarrow : l \\
 \frac{\quad}{P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)), P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))} \Rightarrow : r \\
 \frac{\quad}{P(c) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash (P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)))} \Rightarrow : r \\
 \frac{\quad}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(\hat{f}(k+1, x))) \vdash (P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)))} \forall : l
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{pr}^{\varphi, \emptyset}(k+1) &= w^{\vdash B(k+1)}(\text{pr}^{\psi, \Omega}(k+1)) \oplus w^{A\vdash} (\\
 &\Rightarrow_r (\Rightarrow_r (w^{P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(k+1, c)) \vdash P(g(k+1, c))} (P(c) \vdash P(c)) \oplus \\
 &\quad w^{P(c) \vdash} (P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash P(\hat{f}(k+1, c))) \otimes_{\Rightarrow_l} \\
 &\quad P(g(k+1, c)) \vdash P(g(k+1, c))))))
 \end{aligned}$$

The CERES_s Method (ctd.)

- ▶ Let $A = (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x)))$
- ▶ Let $B(0) = (P(\hat{f}(0, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c)))$
- ▶ Base case for φ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}^{\varphi, \emptyset}(0) &= w^{\vdash B(0)}(w_l(P(\hat{f}(0, u(0))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(0, u(0)))) \oplus \\ &w^{A\vdash}(\Rightarrow_r(\Rightarrow_r(w^{P(\hat{f}(0, c)) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c)) \vdash P(g(0, c))}(P(c) \vdash P(c))) \oplus \\ &w^{P(c)\vdash}(P(\hat{f}(0, c)) \vdash P(\hat{f}(0, c)) \otimes_{\Rightarrow_l} P(g(0, c)) \vdash P(g(0, c)))))) \end{aligned}$$

Characteristic Clause Set Schema

► $\text{CL}(\Psi) = (\text{cl}^{\varphi, \emptyset}, \text{cl}^{\psi, \Omega})$ where

$$\text{cl}^{\varphi, \emptyset}(0) \rightarrow \{P(\hat{f}(0, u(0))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(0, u(0)))\} \oplus \{\vdash P(c)\} \oplus (\{P(\hat{f}(0, c)) \vdash\} \otimes \{\vdash\})$$

$$\text{cl}^{\varphi, \emptyset}(k+1) \rightarrow \text{cl}^{\psi, \Omega}(k+1) \oplus \{\vdash P(c)\} \oplus (\{P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash\} \otimes \{\vdash\})$$

$$\text{cl}^{\psi, \Omega}(0) \rightarrow \{P(\hat{f}(0, u(0))) \vdash P(\hat{f}(0, u(0)))\}$$

$$\text{cl}^{\psi, \Omega}(k+1) \rightarrow \text{cl}^{\psi, \Omega}(k) \oplus \{P(u(k+1)) \vdash P(u(k+1))\} \oplus (\{P(\hat{f}(k, u(k+1))) \vdash\} \otimes \{\vdash P(\hat{f}(k+1, u(k+1)))\})$$

Characteristic Clause Set Schema (ctd.)

- ▶ The sequence of $\text{CL}(\Psi) \downarrow_0, \text{CL}(\Psi) \downarrow_1, \text{CL}(\Psi) \downarrow_2, \dots$ is:

$$\{P(u_0) \vdash P(u_0) ; \vdash P(c) ; P(c) \vdash\},$$

$$\{P(u_0) \vdash P(u_0) ; P(f(u_1)) \vdash P(f(u_1)) ; P(u_1) \vdash P(f(u_1)) ; \\ \vdash P(c) ; P(f(c)) \vdash\},$$

$$\{P(u_0) \vdash P(u_0) ; P(f(u_1)) \vdash P(f(u_1)) ; P(f(f(u_2))) \vdash P(f(f(u_2))) ; \\ P(u_1) \vdash P(f(u_1)) ; P(f(u_2)) \vdash P(f(f(u_2))) ; \\ \vdash P(c) ; P(f(f(c))) \vdash\}, \dots$$

- ▶ $\text{CL}(\Psi) \downarrow_\gamma$ boils down to $\{P(u_1) \vdash P(f(u_1)); \vdash P(c); P(f^\gamma(c)) \vdash\}$.

Projections

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \blacktriangleright PR(\Psi) \downarrow_0 : \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 \frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{P(c) \vdash P(c)}{\frac{P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c)), P(c) \vdash P(c), P(g(0, c))}{\Rightarrow : r}}{\Rightarrow : r}}{\vdash P(c), (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c)))}}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash P(c), (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c)))} w : l}
 \\
 \frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{P(c) \vdash P(c) \quad P(g(0, c)) \vdash P(g(0, c))}{\Rightarrow : l}}{\frac{P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c)), P(c) \vdash P(g(0, c))}{w : l}}{\frac{P(c), P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c)), P(c) \vdash P(g(0, c))}{\Rightarrow : r}}{\frac{P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c)), P(c) \vdash P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c))}{\Rightarrow : r}}{\frac{P(c) \vdash (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c)))}{P(c), (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \vdash (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c)))} w : l}
 \\
 \frac{P(u_0) \vdash P(u_0)}{(\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x))), P(u_0) \vdash P(u_0), (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c)))} w : l, r}
 \end{array} \right.
 \end{array}$$

Key Results About $CERES_s$

Proposition (Commutativity)

For all $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$:

- ▶ $CL(\Psi \downarrow_\gamma) = CL(\Psi) \downarrow_\gamma$,
- ▶ $PR(\Psi \downarrow_\gamma) = PR(\Psi) \downarrow_\gamma$.

Proposition (Unsatisfiability)

$CL(\Psi) \downarrow_\gamma$ is unsatisfiable for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ (i.e. $CL(\Psi)$ is unsatisfiable).

Proposition (Correctness)

Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$, then for every clause $C \in CL(\Psi) \downarrow_\gamma$ there exists an LK_s -proof $\pi \in PR(\Psi) \downarrow_\gamma$ with end-sequent $C \circ S(\gamma)$.

A Refutation Schema

- ▶ Let $R = (\varrho, \delta)$ where
 - $\varrho(0, u) \rightarrow r(\delta(0, u); P(\hat{f}(0, c)) \vdash; P(\hat{f}(0, c)))$,
 - $\varrho(k+1, u) \rightarrow r(\delta(k+1, u); P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)) \vdash; P(\hat{f}(k+1, c)))$,
 - $\delta(0, u) \rightarrow \vdash P(c)$,
 - $\delta(k+1, u) \rightarrow r(\delta(k, u); P(u(k+1)) \vdash P(f(u(k+1))))$; $P(\hat{f}(k, c))$.

- ▶ Let $\hat{p}re: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ be a defined function symbol, then define the function $\hat{p}re(n)$ as:
 - $\hat{p}re(0) \rightarrow 0$, and
 - $\hat{p}re(k+1) \rightarrow k$.

- ▶ $\theta = \{u \leftarrow \lambda k. \hat{f}(\hat{p}re(k), c)\}$.

A Refutation Schema (ctd.)

- $R\theta \downarrow_\gamma$ is a resolution refutation for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$R\theta \downarrow_0 = r(\vdash P(c) ; P(c) \vdash ; P(c))$$

$$R\theta \downarrow_1 = r(r(\vdash P(c) ; P(c) \vdash P(f(c)) ; P(c)); \\ P(f(c)) \vdash ; P(f(c)))$$

$$R\theta \downarrow_2 = r(r(r(\vdash P(c) ; P(c) \vdash P(f(c)) ; P(c)); \\ P(f(c)) \vdash P(f(f(c))) ; P(f(c))); \\ P(f(f(f))) \vdash ; P(f(f(c))))$$

$$\vdots$$

Refutation to LK_s -skeleton

Let ϱ be a normalized resolution refutation. Then the transformation $TR(\varrho)$ is defined inductively:

- ▶ if $\varrho = C$ for a clause C , then $TR(\varrho) = C$,
- ▶ if $\varrho = r(\varrho_1; \varrho_2; P)$, then $TR(\varrho)$ is:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{(TR(\varrho_1))}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, P, \dots, P}}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, P} \quad c: r* \quad \frac{\frac{(TR(\varrho_2))}{P, \dots, P, \Pi \vdash \Lambda}}{P, \Pi \vdash \Lambda} \quad c: l*}{\Gamma, \Pi \vdash \Delta, \Lambda} \quad cut$$

An Example (ctd.)

- Let $A = (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x)))$ and
 $B = (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c)))$, then:

$$\frac{\vdash P(c) \quad P(c) \vdash}{\vdash} \text{ cut}$$

An Example (ctd.)

- Let $A = (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x)))$ and
 $B = (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c)))$, then:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{P(c) \vdash P(c) \quad P(g(0, c)) \vdash P(g(0, c))}{P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c)), P(c) \vdash P(g(0, c))} \Rightarrow : l \\
 \frac{\quad}{P(c), P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c)), P(c) \vdash P(g(0, c))} w : l \\
 \frac{\quad}{P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c)), P(c) \vdash P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c))} \Rightarrow : r \\
 \frac{\quad}{P(c) \vdash B} \Rightarrow : r \\
 \frac{\quad}{P(c), A \vdash B} w : l \\
 \frac{\vdash P(c) \quad \quad \quad P(c), A \vdash B}{A \vdash B} cut
 \end{array}$$

An Example (ctd.)

- Let $A = (\forall x)(P(x) \Rightarrow P(f(x)))$ and
 $B = (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c))) \Rightarrow (P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c)))$, then:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\frac{\frac{P(c) \vdash P(c)}{\quad} w: l, r}{P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c)), P(c) \vdash P(c), P(g(0, c))} \Rightarrow: r}{P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c)) \vdash P(c), P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c))} \Rightarrow: r \\
 \frac{\frac{\frac{\vdash P(c), B}{A \vdash P(c), B} w: l}{\quad} w: l}{A, A \vdash B, B} \\
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\frac{\frac{P(c) \vdash P(c)}{\quad} \quad \quad P(g(0, c)) \vdash P(g(0, c))}{P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c)), P(c) \vdash P(g(0, c))} \Rightarrow: l}{P(c), P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c)), P(c) \vdash P(g(0, c))} w: l \\
 \frac{\frac{\frac{P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c)), P(c) \vdash P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c))}{\quad} \Rightarrow: r}{P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c)), P(c) \vdash P(c) \Rightarrow P(g(0, c))} \Rightarrow: r \\
 \frac{\frac{\frac{P(c) \vdash B}{P(c), A \vdash B} w: l}{\quad} cut}{A, A \vdash B, B} \\
 \end{array}$$

Main Theorem

Theorem (ACNF)

Let Ψ be a proof schema with end-sequent $S(n)$, and let R be a resolution refutation schema of $\text{CL}(\Psi)$. Then for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a normalized \mathbf{LK}_S -proof π of $S(\alpha)$ with at most atomic cuts such that its size $l(\pi)$ is polynomial in $l(R \downarrow_\alpha) \cdot l(\text{PR}(\Psi) \downarrow_\alpha)$.

- ▶ Drawback: the method is inherently **incomplete**.

Summary

Whole $CERES_s$ Procedure

- ▶ Phase 1 of $CERES_s$ (schematic construction):
 - compute $CL(\Psi)$;
 - compute $PR(\Psi)$;
 - construct a resolution refutation schema \mathcal{R} of $CL(\Psi)$ and a substitution schema ϑ .
 - then **ACNF schema** is $(PR(\Psi), \mathcal{R}, \vartheta)$.

- ▶ Phase 2 of $CERES_s$ (evaluation, given a number α):
 - compute $PR(\Psi) \downarrow_\alpha$;
 - compute $\mathcal{R}\vartheta \downarrow_\alpha$ and $T_\alpha : TR(\mathcal{R}\vartheta \downarrow_\alpha)$;
 - append the corresponding projections in $PR(\Psi) \downarrow_\alpha$ to T_α , propagate the contexts down and append necessary contractions at the end of the proof.

Future Work

- ▶ Extract valuable information such as Herbrand sequent from the ACNF schema.
- ▶ Investigate the resolution calculus (paramodulation, decidable fragments, etc.).
- ▶ Extend proof schema systems and the method to multiple parameters.

Questions?